



|                |                                 |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| <b>Company</b> | Djerriwarrh Group Limited       |
| <b>Code</b>    | DJW                             |
| <b>Meeting</b> | AGM                             |
| <b>Date</b>    | 8 October 2020                  |
| <b>Venue</b>   | Virtual Meeting - Lumi Platform |
| <b>Monitor</b> | Frank Thompson                  |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Number attendees at meeting</b>           | Virtual - unknown   |
| <b>Number of holdings represented by ASA</b> | 146   |
| <b>Value of proxies</b>                      | \$6.95m   |
| <b>Number of shares represented by ASA</b>   | 2,704,329 (1.2% of register, equivalent to the 2 <sup>nd</sup> largest shareholder) |
| <b>Market capitalisation</b>                 | \$579.9m  |
| <b>Were proxies voted?</b>                   | Yes, all motions supported  |
| <b>Pre AGM-Meeting?</b>                      | No  |

### A Difficult Year for LICs

Djerriwarrh Investments Limited is a Listed Investment Company (LIC) investing in a diversified portfolio of Australian and New Zealand equities. For stocks where there is an options market, the Company often uses exchange traded and over-the-counter options to enhance the income return to investors. Investment goals are to pay a higher level of fully franked dividend than is available from the market in general and to provide an attractive total return, including capital growth, over the medium to long term. All meeting resolutions were easily carried on a poll. All ASA Proxies were voted in favour.

The meeting was conducted on the Lumi platform without video. Voting was online with questions taken by both telephone and online entry. It was well conducted with ample time for questions. All questions were fully presented and addressed. A disappointment was the lack of video cover. As you could not see the chair or speakers the meeting was a little stilted and disconnected from shareholders.

The ASA put 2 questions:

1. You raise environment, societal, and governance (ESG) twice in your annual report saying that assessment in this area is integrated into the investment framework. Please provide

- some detail on how the ESG assessment is done, including the matrix or measures used and how you believe this affects the long-term performance of these investments?
2. The annual report clearly outlines a close relationship with Australian Investment Company Services Ltd (AICS). I note that you paid AICS \$2.6 million for Administration which represents 63% of your expenses in this area, significant. Please advise how you ensure you are receiving value for money? Do you market test or otherwise check to ensure this?

Both were responded to. The first question regarding ESG was covered by detailing how ESG is built into their assessment criteria as an important factor in assessing quality and long-term viability of investments. The second was responded to on the basis that Directors closely monitor payments to AICS, competitiveness of payments is reviewed by the auditors and AICS payments are only part of administration expenses. Competitive tenders re AICS payments have not been sought whereas other services have been tendered regularly.

Points from the meeting:

- Net profit after tax (NPAT) decreased by 4.1% in FY2020, largely due to companies reducing dividends due to Covid. It was noted that this was better than ASX 200 earnings reduction average.
- Market value of investments decreased by 13.7% to \$678.7m due to Covid caused contraction.
- Investors to expect a reduced dividend for the foreseeable future, accurate forecasts are impossible due to Covid
- Considered that current investments in both mix and quality are in good shape for the future.